Global Research Journal in Engineering, Science, Technology and Management (GRJESTM)
Volume 02, Issue 02 (March-April) 2026, pp. 341-345
GRJESTM :: An odaswa™ Journal
ISSN : 3107-3611 (Online)

TECHNICAL SERIES | TECHNOLOGY INSIGHT – TN03

When Spectra Meet Structure: The Emerging Role of Hyperspectral–LiDAR Fusion in UAV Mapping

Ganeshkumar Baskar*

Technology Snapshot.

Technology	UAV Hyperspectral–LiDAR Data Fusion
Technology Domain	Multimodal Remote Sensing / AI
Application Area	UAV Mapping and Environmental Monitoring
Technology Status	Emerging / Active Research
Key Advantage	Integration of spectral signatures with three-dimensional structural information
Current Challenge	Cross-sensor registration, high dimensionality and intelligent feature fusion
Future Potential	Precision agriculture, forestry, ecosystem monitoring and intelligent 3D classification

Keywords: UAV, Hyperspectral Imaging, LiDAR, Data Fusion, Multimodal Remote Sensing, Artificial Intelligence

* Principal Consultant, odaswa Geospatial & Research Services, Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India

1. One Object, Two Different Views

Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) remote sensing is increasingly moving from single-sensor image acquisition toward multisensor observation systems. Among the emerging combinations, hyperspectral imaging and Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) are particularly complementary because the two technologies measure fundamentally different characteristics of the same target.

Hyperspectral sensors acquire reflected radiation over numerous narrow and contiguous spectral bands. The resulting spectral signatures can provide information related to vegetation condition, pigments, moisture, material composition and subtle surface characteristics that may not be distinguishable in conventional RGB or multispectral imagery.

LiDAR, in contrast, directly measures three-dimensional spatial structure using laser ranging. UAV LiDAR can characterize terrain elevation, vegetation height, canopy structure and the geometry of objects through dense three-dimensional point clouds.

The technological significance of hyperspectral–LiDAR fusion therefore arises from the possibility of integrating spectral properties with physical 3D structure. Rather than interpreting an object only from its reflectance or geometry, a fused system attempts to characterize both simultaneously.

2. Complementary Measurement Principle

GNSS

The information obtained from the two sensors can be expressed conceptually as:

Hyperspectral observation: $[H(x,y,\lambda)]$

where (x) and (y) represent spatial position and (λ) represents spectral wavelength.

A LiDAR observation can be represented primarily by three-dimensional coordinates: $[L(x,y,z)]$ with additional attributes such as return intensity, return number and other sensor-specific measurements.

The objective of fusion is to establish a reliable relationship between these heterogeneous observations:

$F(x,y,z,\lambda)= F_f\,[H(x,y,\lambda),L(x,y,z)]$

where (F) represents an integrated spectral–structural description of the observed environment and F_f represents feature fusion operation,.

This concept appears straightforward mathematically, but implementation is technically demanding because hyperspectral imagery is generally represented as a regular raster cube, whereas LiDAR consists of irregularly distributed 3D points.

3. Technical Architecture of UAV Sensor Fusion

A practical UAV hyperspectral–LiDAR system requires more than mounting two sensors on an aircraft. Data from both sensors must pass through separate preprocessing stages before meaningful integration can occur.

A generalized architecture is:

Hyperspectral UAV Data
↓
Radiometric Correction
↓
Geometric Correction
↓
Spectral Feature Extraction

LiDAR UAV Data
↓
Trajectory Processing
↓
Point-Cloud Generation
↓
Height / Structural Feature Extraction
↓
Hyperspectral Features + LiDAR Features

↓
Co-registration and Data Fusion
↓
Machine-Learning / Deep-Learning Analysis
↓
Classification / Parameter Estimation / 3D Interpretation

The quality of the final result therefore depends not only on individual sensor accuracy but also on the accuracy with which the two datasets are spatially associated.

4. Where Should the Fusion Occur?

One of the major technical questions is at which processing stage hyperspectral and LiDAR information should be combined.
Three principal approaches can be distinguished.

4.1. Data-Level Fusion

The datasets are integrated at an early stage. Spectral values may, for example, be associated directly with spatially corresponding LiDAR points.
This approach retains substantial original information but requires highly accurate registration between sensors.

4.2. Feature-Level Fusion

Important characteristics are first extracted independently.
Hyperspectral features may include spectral bands, vegetation indices, red-edge parameters or transformed spectral components. LiDAR features may include canopy height, elevation, height percentiles, point density and vertical structural measures.
These features are subsequently combined into a common feature space for classification or regression.

4.3. Decision-Level Fusion

Each dataset is analysed independently and the resulting classifications or predictions are subsequently combined. This can be useful when the sensor datasets have substantially different characteristics or acquisition conditions.
Deep-learning approaches increasingly blur these traditional boundaries by allowing separate neural branches to learn sensor-specific features before combining them within a shared network.

5. Spectral–Structural Fusion in Vegetation Assessment

Vegetation provides an important example of why multimodal sensing is useful. Hyperspectral imagery can detect small variations in spectral response associated with chlorophyll, water content and other biochemical or physiological characteristics. However, spectral measurements may become less sensitive to certain variables in dense vegetation because of canopy saturation and complex illumination effects. LiDAR provides an independent description of canopy structure, including height, vertical distribution and geometric characteristics.

Recent studies have therefore explored the integration of spectral and LiDAR-derived structural variables for estimating vegetation characteristics. In crop applications, for example, combining spectral and structural information can improve estimation of parameters such as Leaf Area Index when spectral information alone begins to saturate.

The underlying technical principle is important: Spectral information describes target condition, while LiDAR describes target structure. Their integration can therefore reduce ambiguities that may remain when either observation is interpreted independently.

6. Registration: A Critical Source of Error

Cross-sensor registration is one of the most important technical challenges in hyperspectral–LiDAR fusion. Consider a hyperspectral pixel representing the crown of a particular tree. If positional error causes that pixel to be associated with LiDAR points belonging partly to an adjacent tree, the resulting fused observation becomes physically incorrect.

Registration error may originate from:

- Gnss/imu trajectory uncertainty,
- Different sensor viewing geometries,
- Boresight misalignment,
- Different spatial resolutions,
- Separate flight acquisitions,
- Terrain relief,
- Vegetation movement, and
- Temporal differences between datasets.

Consequently, high individual sensor accuracy does not necessarily guarantee high fusion accuracy. For UAV multisensor systems, co-registration should therefore be considered part of the measurement process rather than merely a post-processing operation.

7. Artificial Intelligence in Multimodal Fusion

The high dimensionality of hyperspectral data and the irregular three-dimensional nature of LiDAR make their integration suitable for machine-learning and deep-learning approaches.
Traditional models commonly use manually derived spectral and structural features with algorithms such as Random Forest, Support Vector Machine or gradient-boosting methods.
More recent approaches can learn features directly from both modalities.
A simplified architecture is:

Hyperspectral cube → Spectral encoder
↘
Fusion → Prediction
↗
LiDAR data → Structural encoder

Attention-based methods can further determine which spectral or structural features should receive greater importance for a particular prediction.

This introduces a new research challenge. Increased model complexity may improve prediction performance, but it can also reduce interpretability. For scientific remote sensing, understanding why particular spectral and structural variables contribute to a prediction remains important.

8. Current Research Challenges

Despite its potential, several problems require further investigation.

1. Sensor synchronization: Hyperspectral and LiDAR observations should represent the same target conditions as closely as possible.
2. Cross-sensor calibration: Accurate relative orientation between sensors is necessary for reliable fusion.
3. Data dimensionality: Hundreds of spectral bands combined with millions of LiDAR points create substantial computational requirements.
4. Scale mismatch: The spatial support of a hyperspectral pixel may differ significantly from the distribution of LiDAR points.
5. Model generalization: AI models trained on one crop, forest type, season or sensor configuration may not perform equally well elsewhere.
6. Interpretability: Highly complex fusion networks should still produce scientifically meaningful relationships between observed variables and predicted characteristics.

These issues demonstrate that hyperspectral–LiDAR fusion is not merely a data-combination problem. It involves sensor physics, photogrammetry, positioning, signal processing, machine learning and remote-sensing interpretation.

9. Technology Outlook

UAV hyperspectral–LiDAR fusion represents an important development toward integrated spectral and three-dimensional environmental observation.

The technological progression can be summarized as:

Single Sensor Mapping
↓
Multisensor Acquisition
↓
Spatially Registered Spectral + Structural Data
↓
AI-Based Multimodal Fusion
↓
Spectrally Enriched 3D Environment

The next stage of development is likely to focus on more accurate cross-sensor calibration, automated multimodal registration, deep feature fusion, 3D spectral representations and improved transferability of AI models.

The long-term significance of the technology lies not simply in producing more datasets from a drone. Its potential is to create an integrated representation in which location, three-dimensional structure and spectral characteristics are analysed together.

Such an approach could move UAV remote sensing from conventional image and point-cloud production toward intelligent, multimodal 3D characterization of the Earth's surface and its objects.

References

[1] T. Sankey, J. Donager, J. McVay and J. B. Sankey, "UAV lidar and hyperspectral fusion for forest monitoring in the southwestern USA," Remote Sensing of Environment, Volume. 195, pp. 30–43, 2017.

[2] D. R. A. de Almeida, E. N. Broadbent, M. P. Ferreira, P. Meli, A. M. Almeyda Zambrano, E. B. Gorgens, A. F. Resende, C. T. de Almeida, C. H. do Amaral, A. P. Dalla Corte, C. A. Silva, J. P. Romanelli, G. A. Prata, D. de Almeida Papa, S. C. Stark, R. Valbuena, B. W. Nelson, J. Guillemot, J.-B. Féret, R. Chazdon and P. H. S. Brancalion, "Monitoring restored tropical forest diversity and structure through UAV-borne hyperspectral and lidar fusion," Remote Sensing of Environment, Volume. 264, Article 112582, 2021.

[3] Y. Ma, Y. Zhao, Jungho Im, Y. Zhao, Z. Zhen "A deep-learning-based tree species classification for natural secondary forests using unmanned aerial vehicle hyperspectral images and LiDAR," Ecological Indicators, Volume. 159, Article 111608, 2024.

[4] K. Jiang, Q. Zhao, X. Wang, Y. Sheng and W. Tian, "Tree Species Classification for Shelterbelt Forest Based on Multi-Source Remote Sensing Data Fusion from Unmanned Aerial Vehicles," Forests, Volume. 15, Issue. 12, Article 2200, 2024.

[5] T. T. Sankey, "UAV hyperspectral-thermal-lidar fusion in phenotyping: genetic trait differences among Fremont cottonwood populations," Landscape Ecology, Volume. 40, Article 45, 2025.

[6] G. Mulero, D. J. Bonfil, D. Helman "Wheat leaf area index retrieval from drone-derived hyperspectral and LiDAR imagery using machine learning algorithms," Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, Volume. 372, Article 110648, 2025.