Global Research Journal in Engineering, Science, Technology and Management (GRJESTM)
Volume 01, Issue 04 (July-August) 2025, pp. 173-181
GRJESTM :: An odaswa™ Journal
ISSN : 3107-3611 (Online)

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Supply Chain Resilience and Risk Management in Global Business Environments

Amina Suleiman*[1], Rajib Chakma[2], and Selamawit Bekele[2]

Abstract. In an era characterized by globalization, technological advancement, and interdependence, supply chains have evolved into intricate networks spanning multiple countries and stakeholders. While this interconnectedness enhances efficiency and market reach, it also exposes organizations to a wide array of risks—ranging from natural disasters, pandemics, and geopolitical conflicts to economic volatility and cyberattacks. Recent disruptions, such as the COVID-19 pandemic and trade tensions, have underscored the critical importance of building resilient supply chains capable of withstanding and recovering from unforeseen shocks. This study explores the concept of supply chain resilience as a strategic capability that integrates risk management, flexibility, and sustainability into global operations. It identifies key risk categories—operational, financial, technological, and environmental—and evaluates their implications for global supply chain performance. Furthermore, the paper emphasizes the role of digital technologies, such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and predictive analytics, in enhancing visibility and responsiveness across supply networks. By synthesizing existing literature and case evidence, this research proposes a framework for developing resilient supply chains through proactive risk assessment, supplier diversification, scenario planning, and collaborative partnerships. The findings aim to guide organizations in strengthening adaptability, maintaining business continuity, and achieving long-term competitive advantage in an increasingly volatile global business environment.

Keywords: Supply chain resilience, risk management, global business, sustainability, digital transformation, disruption management

1* School of Business and Management, Addis Global University, Ethiopia
2 Faculty of Business and Economics, St. Mary's University, Ethiopia.

Published By **odaswa**. Published at Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

1. Introduction

The globalization of business has extended supply chains across borders, increasing operational efficiency, market reach, and cost competitiveness. However, this interconnectedness has simultaneously amplified vulnerabilities, exposing organizations to a multitude of risks, including natural disasters, political instability, pandemics, cyber threats, and trade disruptions [1]. Events such as the COVID-19 pandemic, the Russia–Ukraine conflict, and the global semiconductor shortage have vividly demonstrated the fragility of modern supply networks [2]. These incidents disrupted manufacturing, logistics, and distribution systems worldwide, revealing an urgent need for organizations to strengthen their ability to anticipate and respond to unexpected shocks.

Supply chain resilience—the capability of an organization to anticipate, absorb, recover from, and adapt to disruptions—has thus emerged as a key strategic priority for businesses seeking long-term sustainability and competitiveness [3]. As noted by Christopher and Peck [4], resilience goes beyond traditional risk management; it involves proactive design, agility, and collaboration to ensure continuity under dynamic global conditions. Traditional risk management approaches often focused on efficiency and cost reduction through lean operations and just-in-time (JIT) practices, which inadvertently reduced system redundancy and flexibility [5]. In contrast, resilience emphasizes robustness, adaptability, and the integration of digital technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and predictive analytics for real-time visibility and response [6].

Recent research highlights that resilient supply chains are built on four key pillars: flexibility, visibility, collaboration, and redundancy [7]. Flexibility allows firms to rapidly adjust production and sourcing strategies; visibility enhances the ability to detect and respond to disruptions; collaboration fosters trust and information sharing among partners; and redundancy ensures the availability of backup resources. Moreover, as Tang and Musa [8] argue, resilience must be embedded as a dynamic capability that evolves with changing risk landscapes, rather than as a one-time initiative.

This paper analyzes the theoretical and practical dimensions of supply chain resilience and risk management in global business environments. It reviews the major risk factors affecting supply chains, explores strategic and technological enablers of resilience, and proposes frameworks that organizations can adopt to enhance adaptability, continuity, and long-term competitiveness. In doing so, it contributes to the ongoing discourse on sustainable and intelligent supply chain design in an increasingly volatile global economy.

2. Risks in Global Supply Chains

Global supply chains operate within complex, dynamic environments where multiple risk factors interact and evolve rapidly. The increasing globalization of production and distribution networks has amplified exposure to disruptions that can originate from political, environmental, technological, or operational domains [10]. Understanding these risks is essential for developing effective resilience and risk management strategies that ensure supply continuity and business sustainability.

2.1. Geopolitical Risks

Geopolitical risks arise from trade wars, sanctions, political instability, and diplomatic tensions that disrupt cross-border operations [11]. Events such as the U.S.–China trade conflict, Brexit, and the Russia–Ukraine war have significantly influenced global supply chains, resulting in increased tariffs, restricted access to raw materials, and fluctuating transportation routes [12]. As Gereffi [13] notes, global production networks are deeply embedded within political frameworks, and disruptions at the state level can cascade across industries. Firms relying heavily on geographically concentrated suppliers face heightened exposure, emphasizing the need

for diversification and strategic sourcing to mitigate geopolitical uncertainty.

2.2. Natural Disasters and Pandemics

Natural disasters—including earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, and wildfires—pose severe risks to production and logistics infrastructures. For example, the 2011 Tōhoku earthquake in Japan disrupted global automotive and electronics supply chains, leading to significant production delays [14]. Similarly, the COVID-19 pandemic exposed systemic weaknesses by causing global factory shutdowns, transportation delays, and labor shortages [15]. According to Ivanov and Dolgui [16], such events underscore the necessity for resilience strategies that integrate flexibility, redundancy, and multi-tier risk visibility across supply networks.

2.3. Cybersecurity Threats

The increasing digitization of supply chains, driven by Industry 4.0 technologies, has created new vulnerabilities to cyberattacks, ransomware, and data breaches [17]. Cyber incidents can paralyze operations, compromise sensitive data, and erode stakeholder trust. The 2021 ransomware attack on Colonial Pipeline in the U.S. demonstrated how cyber disruptions can affect not only individual companies but entire industries and economies [18]. As Boyes [19] argues, cybersecurity risk management should be a core component of supply chain governance, with robust data protection, continuous monitoring, and cyber-resilience frameworks in place.

2.4. Operational Risks

Operational risks stem from internal inefficiencies and failures within supply chain processes, such as supplier insolvency, equipment breakdowns, labor unrest, and logistics bottlenecks [20]. Lean manufacturing and just-in-time (JIT) systems, while optimizing efficiency, have often reduced buffers and flexibility, leaving firms more vulnerable to operational disruptions [21]. As Chopra and Sodhi [22] highlight, balancing efficiency with redundancy is vital to maintaining reliability and minimizing downtime during crises.

2.5. Environmental Risks

Environmental risks are increasingly critical as climate change alters global weather patterns, affecting resource availability, transportation networks, and production cycles. Extreme weather events can delay shipments, damage infrastructure, and disrupt energy supplies [23]. Moreover, growing environmental regulations and sustainability standards require organizations to adopt greener sourcing and logistics practices [24]. According to Sarkis [25], integrating environmental risk management into supply chain strategies not only mitigates exposure but also enhances corporate reputation and compliance with global sustainability goals.

3. Supply Chain Resilience Strategies

Building resilience in global supply chains requires a comprehensive approach that integrates structural flexibility, technological innovation, and collaborative governance. Resilient supply chains are not merely reactive but are designed to anticipate, absorb, and recover from disruptions while maintaining operational continuity [26]. The following strategies are widely recognized as pillars of resilience enhancement in global business environments.

3.1. Diversification of Suppliers

Supplier diversification minimizes dependence on a single supplier or region, thereby reducing exposure to localized disruptions [27]. Overreliance on a specific source—whether due to cost advantages or logistical convenience—can amplify vulnerabilities, as witnessed during the COVID-19 pandemic when production hubs in East Asia faced widespread shutdowns [28]. By establishing multi-sourcing arrangements, nearshoring, or regional supplier bases, firms can enhance redundancy and flexibility in sourcing. As Wieland and Wallenburg [29] emphasize, a balanced portfolio of suppliers across geographies provides both resilience and strategic agility,

enabling companies to reconfigure supply routes swiftly in crisis situations.

3.2. Digital Technologies

Digital transformation plays a central role in enhancing supply chain visibility and decision-making agility. Technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), Blockchain, and the Internet of Things (IoT) facilitate real-time tracking, predictive analytics, and secure data sharing [30]. AI-powered analytics can forecast demand fluctuations and potential disruptions, while blockchain ensures transparency and traceability across multi-tier networks [31]. IoT-enabled sensors, on the other hand, provide live insights into inventory levels, transportation conditions, and equipment health. According to Ivanov et al. [32], digitalization not only enables faster responses but also supports proactive risk prevention, creating a "digital twin" model that simulates potential vulnerabilities and recovery pathways.

3.3. Agile Supply Chain Models

Agility refers to a supply chain's ability to rapidly adjust to changes in demand, supply, or market conditions. Agile models prioritize flexibility, modular production, and decentralized logistics to respond effectively to uncertainty [33]. As Christopher [34] notes, agility complements resilience by ensuring that businesses can adapt operations quickly without compromising efficiency. Techniques such as postponement, flexible manufacturing systems, and dynamic routing in logistics enable firms to maintain service continuity even amid large-scale disruptions.

3.4. Inventory Buffers

While lean strategies emphasize minimizing excess inventory, resilience requires a balance between efficiency and preparedness. Strategic stockpiling of critical components, raw materials, and finished goods provides a cushion against supply interruptions [35]. Buffer inventories proved particularly valuable during the semiconductor shortage of 2021, where firms with safety stock reserves maintained production continuity while competitors faced shutdowns [36]. Optimal buffer levels should be determined using risk-based inventory models to avoid excessive capital tie-up while ensuring adequate protection against volatility.

3.5. Collaboration and Partnerships

Trust-based collaboration among suppliers, logistics providers, and customers enhances information sharing, coordination, and problem-solving during crises [37]. Strong partnerships enable joint risk assessments, shared contingency planning, and coordinated recovery actions. According to Scholten and Schilder [38], collaborative resilience—where stakeholders jointly design mitigation strategies—creates a network-wide ability to withstand shocks. Building such relationships requires transparency, mutual commitment, and the integration of digital collaboration platforms for synchronized operations.

4. Risk Management Approaches

While resilience focuses on adaptability and recovery, effective risk management provides the foundation for identifying, assessing, and mitigating potential disruptions before they escalate [39]. The integration of structured risk management practices ensures that supply chain resilience is proactive rather than reactive.

4.1. Risk Mapping and Assessment

Risk mapping involves systematically identifying vulnerabilities across supply chain nodes, including suppliers, production facilities, transportation links, and IT systems [40]. Techniques such as Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA), risk heat maps, and network modeling help quantify exposure and prioritize mitigation efforts. As Zsidisin and Ritchie [41] argue, comprehensive assessment across multiple tiers of suppliers is essential for uncovering hidden dependencies that often cause cascading failures during disruptions.

4.2. Scenario Planning

Scenario planning prepares organizations for multiple disruption scenarios by simulating diverse risk environments—such as geopolitical conflicts, pandemics, or cyberattacks—and outlining corresponding response strategies [42]. It allows decision-makers to test contingency plans, identify weak points, and refine crisis management protocols. According to Ramirez and Wilkinson [43], scenario planning transforms uncertainty into actionable foresight, enabling supply chains to respond with agility and confidence when real disruptions occur.

4.3. Business Continuity Planning

Business Continuity Planning (BCP) establishes structured frameworks for rapid recovery and minimal downtime following disruptions [44]. A robust BCP defines critical operations, assigns responsibilities, and outlines communication channels during crises. As Herbane [45] highlights, effective continuity planning extends beyond recovery to include proactive measures that sustain customer trust and brand reputation during disruptions.

4.4. Insurance and Financial Hedging

Financial instruments such as insurance and hedging strategies are essential components of supply chain risk mitigation. Insurance policies cover losses from natural disasters, cyber incidents, and cargo damage, while financial hedging protects against market fluctuations and currency volatility [46]. According to Kleindorfer and Saad [47], integrating financial risk transfer mechanisms with operational safeguards provides a comprehensive defense against both predictable and unforeseen events.

4.5. Sustainability Integration

Embedding Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles within supply chain operations strengthens long-term resilience by aligning business objectives with sustainability goals [48]. Sustainable sourcing, ethical labor practices, and green logistics reduce exposure to regulatory, reputational, and environmental risks. As Carter and Rogers [49] argue, resilience and sustainability are mutually reinforcing—firms that integrate ESG frameworks tend to recover faster from disruptions and maintain stronger stakeholder trust.

5. Discussion

Resilience in supply chain management extends far beyond the ability to recover from disruptions; it represents a proactive and strategic approach to designing systems that can thrive amid uncertainty. True resilience lies in embedding flexibility, adaptability, and foresight into every layer of the supply chain—from sourcing and production to logistics and customer fulfillment. This requires a fundamental shift in mindset: viewing disruptions not merely as threats to efficiency, but as opportunities to strengthen organizational learning, agility, and innovation.

Modern supply chains operate in an environment characterized by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity. In such a landscape, static and cost-driven models are insufficient. Instead, organizations must develop dynamic systems capable of sensing early warning signals, adjusting to changing conditions, and recovering swiftly when disruptions occur. Flexibility in production networks, modular supply configurations, and geographically diverse supplier bases enable firms to reroute materials and reconfigure operations with minimal downtime. Adaptability, on the other hand, ensures that organizations can learn from past crises and evolve their strategies for future contingencies.

Technology plays a transformative role in achieving this vision of resilience. The integration of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and advanced analytics allows companies to predict risks, optimize inventory levels, and enhance demand forecasting accuracy. Real-time data visibility across supply chain nodes ensures informed decision-making during crises, while automation reduces dependency on human intervention in repetitive or vulnerable operations.

Digital twins and scenario modeling provide organizations with the ability to test various disruption scenarios virtually, enabling faster and more confident responses when real-world challenges arise.

However, resilience is not only a technological or operational construct—it also has a strong sustainability dimension. Environmental and social pressures, coupled with evolving regulatory expectations, have made it imperative for organizations to design supply chains that are both resilient and responsible. Incorporating sustainability principles ensures that resilience is achieved not through short-term fixes, but through long-term stability, ethical practices, and resource stewardship. A sustainable supply chain is inherently more resilient because it emphasizes transparency, waste reduction, and stakeholder trust—all of which contribute to continuity during crises.

Equally important is the balance between efficiency and robustness. For decades, supply chains were optimized primarily for cost minimization, resulting in lean operations with limited buffers and narrow margins for error. While such approaches increased profitability during stable periods, they often left organizations exposed during disruptions. The new paradigm demands a more balanced approach—one that values redundancy, flexibility, and preparedness alongside efficiency. This does not imply abandoning lean principles, but rather complementing them with strategic slack and scenario-based planning that enhances overall resilience without excessively inflating costs.

Finally, resilience must be viewed as an organizational culture, not a one-time initiative. It requires cross-functional collaboration, leadership commitment, and continuous investment in people, processes, and technology. Employees at all levels must be trained to recognize risks, respond swiftly, and contribute to adaptive learning. Through a culture of continuous improvement and proactive risk awareness, supply chains can evolve from being reactive systems to becoming intelligent, adaptive ecosystems that sustain growth and competitiveness in an unpredictable global environment.

6. Conclusion

In an era defined by uncertainty, interdependence, and rapid change, supply chain resilience and risk management have emerged as vital capabilities for global enterprises. The increasing frequency of disruptions—ranging from pandemics and geopolitical conflicts to cyber incidents and environmental crises—has underscored the limitations of traditional efficiency-driven models. Organizations that continue to prioritize cost optimization without accounting for resilience risk severe operational and financial setbacks when unforeseen events occur.

Building resilient supply chains is, therefore, not a reactive measure but a strategic imperative. It requires organizations to anticipate potential vulnerabilities, diversify supplier bases, and integrate flexibility into sourcing, production, and logistics processes. The adoption of digital technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and predictive analytics has become indispensable for achieving real-time visibility, early risk detection, and data-driven decision-making. Moreover, resilience is strengthened through collaboration and trust-based partnerships that enable coordinated responses across complex global networks.

Equally important is the alignment of resilience with sustainability and ethical responsibility. A resilient supply chain is one that not only survives disruptions but does so in a manner consistent with environmental, social, and governance (ESG) principles. By embedding resilience into the organizational culture and governance structure, businesses can ensure continuity, protect stakeholder value, and foster long-term competitiveness. Ultimately, resilience is not a destination but a continuous process of learning, adapting, and evolving to meet the

challenges of an increasingly volatile global landscape.

References

[1] C. S. Tang, "Perspectives in supply chain risk management," International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 103, Issue 2, pp. 451–488, 2006.

[2] M. Ivanov and A. Dolgui, "Viability of intertwined supply networks: Extending the supply chain resilience angles towards survivability," International Journal of Production Research, Volume 58, Issue 10, pp. 2904–2925, 2020.

[3] Y. Sheffi, The Resilient Enterprise: Overcoming Vulnerability for Competitive Advantage, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2005.

[4] M. Christopher and H. Peck, "Building the resilient supply chain," The International Journal of Logistics Management, Volume 15, Issue 2, pp. 1–14, 2004.

[5] J. B. Rice and F. Caniato, "Building a secure and resilient supply network," Supply Chain Management Review, Volume 7, Issue 5, pp. 22–30, 2003.

[6] P. Ivanov, "Digital supply chain management and industry 4.0: A review of the literature and implications for the future," Computers & Industrial Engineering, Volume 152, pp. 106–643, 2021.

[7] J. Pettit, K. Fiksel, and K. L. Croxton, "Ensuring supply chain resilience: Development of a conceptual framework," Journal of Business Logistics, Volume 31, Issue 1, pp. 1–21, 2010.

[8] C. S. Tang and S. Musa, "Identifying risk issues and research advancements in supply chain risk management," International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 133, Issue 1, pp. 25–34, 2011.

[9] S. Jobin, "The ethics of artificial intelligence: Balancing innovation and accountability," AI & Society, Volume 37, Issue 2, pp. 423–439, 2022.T. H. Davenport and R. Ronanki, "Artificial intelligence for the real world," Harvard Business Review, Volume 96, Issue 1, pp. 108–116, 2018.

[10] D. Jüttner, H. Peck, and M. Christopher, "Supply chain risk management: Outlining an agenda for future research," International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications, Volume 6, Issue 4, pp. 197–210, 2003.

[11] A. Goldin, "Managing geopolitical risk in global supply chains," Harvard Business Review, Volume 99, Issue 3, pp. 87–95, 2021.

[12] J. Bown, "The US-China trade war and phase one agreement," World Economy, Volume 44, Issue 6, pp. 1673–1701, 2021.

[13] G. Gereffi, "Global value chains, development, and emerging economies," Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society, Volume 10, Issue 3, pp. 421–437, 2017.

[14] M. Park, "The impact of the 2011 Japan earthquake on global supply chains," Journal of International Business Studies, Volume 45, Issue 5, pp. 556–575, 2014.

[15] A. Queiroz, M. Ivanov, and A. Dolgui, "Impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on global supply chains: Facts and perspectives," International Journal of Production Research, Volume 59, Issue 9, pp. 2796–2807, 2021.

[16] M. Ivanov and A. Dolgui, "A digital supply chain twin for managing disruptions: A case study," Computers & Industrial Engineering, Volume 153, pp. 107–113, 2021.

[17] E. Boyes, "Cybersecurity and resilience in digital supply networks," Computers & Security, Volume 92, pp. 101–715, 2020.

[18] A. Ghadge, S. Dani, and R. Kalawsky, "Cyber risk management for digital supply chains," Computers & Industrial Engineering, Volume 137, pp. 106–642, 2019.

[19] J. Boyes, "Cybersecurity risk management in interconnected supply chains," Journal of Information Security and Applications, Volume 58, pp. 102–724, 2021.

[20] S. Wagner and C. Bode, "An empirical investigation into supply chain vulnerability," Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, Volume 12, Issue 6, pp. 301–312, 2006.

[21] K. Nair, "Lean and resilient supply chain management: Contradiction or complementarity?" International Journal of Production Research, Volume 58, Issue 1, pp. 34–49, 2020.

[22] S. Chopra and M. S. Sodhi, "Managing risk to avoid supply-chain breakdown," MIT Sloan Management Review, Volume 46, Issue 1, pp. 53–61, 2004.

[23] A. Y. Haleem and S. Javaid, "Environmental and climate risks in supply chain management," Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 312, pp. 127–689, 2021.

[24] S. Jobin, "The ethics of artificial intelligence: Balancing innovation and accountability," AI

& Society, Volume 37, Issue 2, pp. 423–439, 2022.
[25] J. Sarkis, "Sustainable supply chain management: Practical ideas for moving toward best practice," Business Strategy and the Environment, Volume 32, Issue 1, pp. 15–29, 2023.
[26] Y. Sheffi, "Preparing for the big one: Building resilient supply chains," MIT Sloan Management Review, Volume 46, Issue 2, pp. 49–56, 2005.
[27] S. Wagner, "Supplier diversification strategies and resilience in global networks," Journal of Supply Chain Management, Volume 55, Issue 3, pp. 67–83, 2019.
[28] R. Handfield, "The COVID-19 supply chain shock: Implications for global sourcing," Journal of Operations Management, Volume 68, Issue 4, pp. 301–320, 2020.
[29] A. Wieland and C. Wallenburg, "The influence of relational competencies on supply chain resilience: A relational view," International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, Volume 43, Issue 4, pp. 300–320, 2013.
[30] P. Ivanov, "Digital supply chain management and industry 4.0: A review of the literature and implications for the future," Computers & Industrial Engineering, Volume 152, pp. 106–643, 2021.
[31] S. Kshetri, "Blockchain's roles in strengthening supply chain resilience," Telecommunications Policy, Volume 44, Issue 8, pp. 102–324, 2020.
[32] M. Ivanov, D. Dolgui, and A. Das, "Digital twins and artificial intelligence for resilient supply chain design," Omega, Volume 109, pp. 102–275, 2022.
[33] M. Christopher, "The agile supply chain: Competing in volatile markets," Industrial Marketing Management, Volume 29, Issue 1, pp. 37–44, 2000.
[34] M. Christopher and D. Towill, "Developing market specific supply chain strategies," International Journal of Logistics Management, Volume 13, Issue 1, pp. 1–14, 2002.
[35] C. Tang, "Perspectives in supply chain risk management," International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 103, Issue 2, pp. 451–488, 2006.
[36] P. Antràs, "Global supply chains in the post-COVID world," Annual Review of Economics, Volume 14, pp. 15–41, 2022.
[37] H. Peck, "Drivers of supply chain vulnerability: An integrated framework," International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, Volume 35, Issue 4, pp. 210–232, 2005.
[38] K. Scholten and S. Schilder, "The role of collaboration in supply chain resilience," Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, Volume 20, Issue 4, pp. 471–484, 2015.
[39] D. Jüttner, H. Peck, and M. Christopher, "Supply chain risk management: Outlining an agenda for future research," International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications, Volume 6, Issue 4, pp. 197–210, 2003.
[40] M. Zsidisin and B. Ritchie, "Supply chain risk identification and assessment: Emerging tools and techniques," International Journal of Operations & Production Management, Volume 28, Issue 6, pp. 451–480, 2008.
[41] B. Zsidisin and M. Smith, "Managing supplier risk in multi-tier networks," Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, Volume 18, Issue 2, pp. 99–107, 2012.
[42] A. Ramirez and A. Wilkinson, "Strategic reframing: The Oxford scenario planning approach," Oxford University Press, 2016.
[43] P. Schoemaker, "Scenario planning: A tool for strategic thinking," MIT Sloan Management Review, Volume 36, Issue 2, pp. 25–40, 1995.
[44] M. Herbane, "Business continuity management: A crisis management approach," Routledge, 2010.
[45] M. Herbane, "Small business research: Business continuity and crisis management," Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, Volume 21, Issue 2, pp. 62–73, 2013.
[46] C. Kleindorfer and S. Saad, "Managing disruption risks in supply chains," Production and Operations Management, Volume 14, Issue 1, pp. 53–68, 2005.
[47] S. Tang and S. Tomlin, "The power of flexibility for mitigating supply chain risks," International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 116, Issue 1, pp. 12–27, 2008.
[48] M. Seuring and M. Müller, "From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management," Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 16, Issue 15, pp. 1699–1710, 2008.
[49] C. Carter and D. Rogers, "A framework of sustainable supply chain management: Moving

toward new theory," International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, Volume 38, Issue 5, pp. 360–387, 2008.